

RESPONSE TO ELECTION REQUIREMENT

10/532,977
3081
04/28/2005
CHENG, Lily Ka-Lai
2838
Torres Ruiz
P08608US00/RFH

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

,,

In response to the election requirement contained in the Office Action dated October 9, 2007, Applicant hereby requests that the present requirement be withdrawn as improperly issued and incapable of being responded to for the reasons set forth below and that the additional relief noted below be taken.

The outstanding election requirement makes reference to species as identified in the specification. Unfortunately, the specification referred to is NOT that of the present application, but rather the specification of International Application No.

PCT/GB03/096512 (a prior and similar application with the same title by the same applicant). This use of the <u>wrong specification</u> evidently resulted from the incorrect listing in PAIR of PCT/GB03/096512 as constituting the "Specification", "Claims", "Abstract", and "Drawings" of the present application. This listing evidently was caused by clerical error at the time of filing, since, in fact, PCT/GB03/096512 was simply an IDS reference submitted by applicant at the time of filing.

Evidence of this error is readily apparent from the PAIR documents since the wrong "Specification", "Claims", "Abstract", and "Drawings" documents all have the wrong identifying numbers (WO 03/096212 & PCT/GB03/096512) at the top of each